A Quick Review on SSC 2021

Bita Shahrami

PharmD, Clinical Pharmacist, Fellowship of Critical Care Pharmacotherapy



Oct 2021

Intensive Care Med https://doi.org/10.1007/s00134-021-06506-y

GUIDELINES

Surviving sepsis campaign: international quidelines for management of sepsis and septic shock 2021



✓ Definition

✓ Screening

 \checkmark Initial resuscitation

✓ Infection management

✓ Hemodynamic management

✓ Additional therapy

Definition

Sepsis is a life-threatening organ dysfunction caused by a deregulated host response to infection.

Septic shock is a subset of sepsis in which particularly profound circulatory, cellular, and metabolic abnormalities are associated with a greater risk of mortality than with sepsis alone.

Initial Resuscitation

Initial Resuscitation

Recommendations

 Sepsis and septic shock are medical emergencies, and we recommend mend that treatment and resuscitation begin immediately Best Practice Statement

Resuscita

tion

 Timely, effective fluid resuscitation is crucial for the stabilization of sepsis-induced tissue hypoperfusion in sepsis and septic shock.

Initial Resuscitation



recommendation 2021 SSC

5. For patients with sepsis induced hypoperfusion or septic shock we suggest that at least 30 mL/kg of intravenous (IV) crystalloid fluid should be given within the first 3 h of resuscitation Weak recommendation, low-quality evidence

 To avoid over- and under-resuscitation, fluid administration beyond the initial resuscitation should be guided by careful assessment of intravascular volume status and organ perfusion.

Resuscitation Assessment



recommendation -202 U SS

6. For adults with sepsis or septic shock, we **suggest** using dynamic measures to guide fluid resuscitation, over physical examination or static parameters alone

Weak recommendation, very low-quality evidence

Remarks

Dynamic parameters include response to a passive leg raise or a fluid bolus, using stroke volume (SV), stroke volume variation (SVV), pulse pressure variation (PPV), or echocardiography, where available

For adults with sepsis or septic shock, we suggest guiding resuscitation to decrease serum lactate in patients with elevated lactate level, over not using serum lactate

Weak recommendation, low-quality evidence

Remarks

During acute resuscitation, serum lactate level should be interpreted considering the clinical context and other causes of elevated lactate

8. For adults with septic shock, we **suggest** using capillary refill time to guide resuscitation as an adjunct to other measures of perfusion *Weak recommendation, low-quality evidence*

Dynamic parameters contain:

- PLR;
- Fluid bolus;

to assess SVV and/or PPV and/or CO.

Static parameters contain:

- MAP;
- CVP;
- HR.

Serum lactate is an important biomarker of tissue hypoxia and dysfunction, but is not a direct measure of tissue perfusion.

Temperature of the extremities, skin mottling and capillary refill time (CRT) have been validated and shown to be reproducible signs of tissue perfusion.

MAP cut-off

Recommendation

 For adults with septic shock on vasopressors, we recommend an initial target mean arterial pressure (MAP) of 65 mm Hg over higher MAP targets

Strong recommendation, moderate-quality evidence

• Previous SSC guidelines recommended targeting a MAP of greater than 65 mm Hg for initial resuscitation.

Infection

Diagnosis of infection

Recommendation

11. For adults with suspected sepsis or septic shock but unconfirmed infection, we **recommend** continuously re-evaluating and searching for alternative diagnoses and discontinuing empiric antimicrobials if an alternative cause of illness is demonstrated or strongly suspected infection

Best Practice statement

- As a best practice statement, we recommended that appropriate routine microbiologic cultures (including blood) should be obtained before starting antimicrobial therapy in patients with suspected sepsis and septic shock if it results in no substantial delay in the start of antimicrobials (i.e. < 45 min).
- Thus, clinicians are strongly encouraged to discontinue antimicrobials if a non-infectious syndrome is demonstrated or strongly suspected.

Antibiotic Timing





infection

*Rapid assessment includes history and clinical examination, tests for both infectious and non-infectious causes of acute illness and immediate treatment for acute conditions that can mimic sepsis. Whenever possible this should be completed within 3 hours of presentation so that a decision can be made as to the likelihood of an infectious cause of the patient's presentation and timely antimicrobial therapy provided if the likelihood is thought to be high.

Biomarkers to start antibiotics

Recommendation

16. For adults with suspected sepsis or septic shock, we suggest against using procalcitonin plus clinical evaluation to decide when to start antimicrobials, as compared to clinical evaluation alone

infection

Weak recommendation, very low quality of evidence



 In a meta-analysis of 30 studies (3244 patients), procalcitonin had a pooled sensitivity of 77% and specificity of 79% for sepsis in critically ill patients.

Source Control

Recommendation

27. For adults with sepsis or septic shock, we recommend rapidly identifying or excluding a specific anatomical diagnosis of infection that requires emergent source control and implementing any required source control intervention as soon as medically and logistically practical

Best Practice Statement

Recommendation

28. For adults with sepsis or septic shock, we recommend prompt removal of intravascular access devices that are a possible source of sepsis or septic shock after other vascular access has been established Best Practice Statement

Antimicrobial Choice: MRSA coverage

Recommendations

17. For adults with sepsis or septic shock at <u>high risk</u> of methicillin resistant staph aureus (MRSA), we **recommend** using empiric antimicrobials with MRSA coverage over using antimicrobials without MRSA coverage Best Practice statement

infection

18. For adults with sepsis or septic shock at low risk of methicillin resistant staph aureus (MRSA), we suggest against using empiric antimicrobials with MRSA coverage, as compared with using antimicrobials without MRSA coverage

Weak recommendation, low quality of evidence

Vancomycin, Teicoplanin, Daptomycin, Linezolid

Antimicrobial Choice: MRSA coverage

Failure to cover for MRSA in a patient with MRSA may be harmful, But unnecessary MRSA coverage in a patient without MRSA may also be harmful.



Risk factors for MRSA infections

- recommendation SSC 2021
- ✓ Prior history of MRSA infection or colonization,
- ✓ Recent IV antibiotics,
- History of recurrent skin infections or chronic wounds,

- ✓ Presence of invasive devices,
- ✓ Hemodialysis,
- Recent hospital admissions and severity of illness

Antimicrobial Choice: Double coverage for G-

Recommendations

19. For adults with sepsis or septic shock and <u>high risk</u> for multidrug resistant (MDR) organisms, we **suggest** using two antimicrobials with gram-negative coverage for empiric treatment over one gram-negative agent infection

Weak recommendation, very low quality of evidence

20. For adults with sepsis or septic shock and low risk for MDR organisms, we suggest against using two Gram-negative agents for empiric treatment, as compared to one Gram-negative agent Weak recommendation, very low quality of evidence

21. For adults with sepsis or septic shock, we suggest against using double gram-negative coverage once the causative pathogen and the susceptibilities are known Weak recommendation, very low quality of evidence SSC 2021 recommendation

Risk of antimicrobial-associated undesirable effects:

- ✓ Direct toxicity,
- ✓ *Clostridioides difficile* infection
- ✓ Development of antibiotic resistance



 Proven infection or colonization with antibiotic-resistant organisms within the preceding year,

- ✓ Local prevalence of antibiotic-resistant organisms,
- ✓ Hospital-acquired/healthcare associated (versus community acquired)
- ✓ Broad-spectrum antibiotic use within the preceding 90 days,
- ✓ Hospitalization abroad within the preceding 90 days.

Antibiotics choice for double coverage for G-

Piperacillin-Tazobactam, Ceftazidime, Cefepime, Meropenem, Imipenem

infection

+

Ciprofloxacin, Levofloxacin,

or

Aminoglycoside (Amikacin, Gentamicin)

or

Colistin

Antifungal Therapy

Recommendations

22. For adults with sepsis or septic shock at <u>high risk</u> of fungal infection, we **suggest** using empiric antifungal therapy over no antifungal therapy
Weak recommendation, low quality of evidence

infection

23. For adults with sepsis or septic shock at low risk of fungal infection, we suggest against empiric use of antifungal therapy Weak recommendation, low quality of evidence

Risk factors for fungal infections

✓ Patients with <u>febrile neutropenia</u> who fail to defervesce after <u>4−7 days</u> of broad spectrum antibacterial

Risk factors for Candida

Candida colonisation at multiple sites [177–179]

Surrogate markers such as Serum Beta-D-Glucan assay [177]

Neutropenia [180, 181]

Immunosuppression [173, 180, 181]

Severity of illness (High APACHE score) [182, 183]

Longer ICU length of stay [183]

Central venous catheters and other intravascular devices [168, 180, 181, 184]

infection

Persons who inject drugs [185]

Total parenteral nutrition [186]

Broad spectrum antibiotics [178, 187]

Gastrointestinal tract perforations and anastomotic leaks [186, 188–190]

Emergency gastrointestinal or hepatobiliary surgery [190]

Acute renal failure and haemodialysis [186, 188]

Severe thermal injury [191–193]

Prior surgery [186]

Risk factors for endemic yeast

Antigen markers such as cryptococcal, histoplasma or blastomyces assays [194–196] HIV infection [197–200] Solid organ transplantation [199, 201–203] High dose corticosteroid therapy [199] Haematopoietic stem cell transplantation [204] Certain biologic response modifiers [205, 206] Diabetes mellitus [207]

Risk factors for mold infection

Neutropenia [204, 208]

Surrogate markers such as Serum or Bronchoalveolar Lavage Galactomannan Assay [209-211]

infection

Haematopoietic stem cell transplantation [204, 208, 212]

Solid organ transplantation [202, 212-214]

High dose corticosteroid therapy [215, 216]

Certain biologic response modifiers [206, 217, 218]



The choice of antifungal agent for empiric therapy depends on multiple issues including:

- ✓ Host factors,
- ✓ Prior colonization and infection,
- ✓ Prior exposure to prophylactic or therapeutic antifungal therapy,
- ✓ Comorbidities,
- ✓ The toxicities and drug interactions of the therapeutic options.

Antiviral therapy

Recommendation

24. We make no recommendation on the use of antiviral agents

In these patients HSV, EBV, CMV, and respiratory viruses such as adenoviruses, can cause severe disease:

- ✓ Patients with neutropenia
- ✓ HIV infection
- ✓ Hematological malignancies
- ✓ Hematopoietic stem cell transplantation
- ✓ Solid organ transplants

Delivery of antibiotics

Recommendation

25. For adults with sepsis or septic shock, we suggest using prolonged infusion of beta-lactams for maintenance (after an initial bolus) over conventional bolus infusion

infection

Weak recommendation, moderate quality of evidence



- Beta-lactam antibiotics may be subject to changes in important pharmacokinetic parameters in the setting of sepsis and septic shock resulting in sub-therapeutic concentrations.
- As opposed to conventional intermittent infusion (infusion ≤ 30 min), administration by prolonged IV infusion results in sustained beta-lactam concentrations which align with the pharmacodynamics of these drugs.
 - <u>Extended infusion</u> (over at least half of the dosing interval)
 - ✓ **Continuous infusion** (over 24 hours)

Delivery of antibiotics



Cefepime, Ceftazidime, Piperacillin-Tazobactum, Meropenem are stable in a 3 to 4-hours infusion.

Loading dose



Administration of a **loading dose** of antibiotic before prolonged infusion is essential to avoid delays to achieving effective beta-lactam concentrations.

Pharmacokinetic & Pharmacodynamic

Recommendation

26. For adults with sepsis or septic shock, we recommend <u>optimising</u> dosing strategies of antimicrobials based on accepted pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic (PK/PD) principles and specific drug properties

infection

Best Practice Statement

Pharmacokinetic & Pharmacodynamic

- recommendation **SSC 2021**
- Antibiotics are subject to changes in PK/PD parameters in sepsis and septic shock where resultant concentrations may be too low risking clinical failure, or too high leading to toxicity.

- ✓ Augmented renal clearance
- ✓ AKĪ,
- ✓ Hypoalbuminemia,
- ✓ RRT,
- ✓ Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation

Pharmacokinetic & Pharmacodynamic

Drug or drug class	PK/PD index associated with bacterial killing or efficacy	Drug concentration target	Considerations for optimised dosing ^a
Antibacterials			
Aminoglycosides	AUC ₀₋₂₄ /MIC; C _{max} /MIC	AUC 70–100 C _{max} /MIC 8–10	Use extended interval dosing with patient weight and kidney function
Beta-lactams	fT _{>MIC}	$C_{\min} > MIC$	Use prolonged infusions, consider patient weight and kidney function
Colistin	AUC ₀₋₂₄ /MIC	Unspecified	Use patient weight and kidney function
Daptomycin	AUC ₀₋₂₄ /MIC; C _{max} /MIC	AUC ₀₋₂₄ /MIC > 200	Use patient weight and kidney function
Fluoroquinolones	AUC ₀₋₂₄ /MIC; C _{max} /MIC	AUC ₀₋₂₄ /MIC 80-125	Use kidney function
Vancomycin	AUC ₀₋₂₄ /MIC	AUC ₀₋₂₄ /MIC 400	Use patient weight and kidney function
Antifungals			
Fluconazole	AUC ₀₋₂₄ /MIC	AUC ₀₋₂₄ /MIC 100	Use patient weight and kidney function
Posaconazole	AUC ₀₋₂₄ /MIC	C _{min} 1–4 mg/L	Use formulation-specific dose
Voriconazole	AUC ₀₋₂₄ /MIC	C _{min} 2–6 mg/L	Use patient weight

De-scalation of antibiotics

Recommendation

29. For adults with sepsis or septic shock, we suggest daily assessment for de-escalation of antimicrobials over using fixed durations of therapy without daily reassessment for de-escalation

infection

Weak recommendation, very low quality of evidence

Duration of antibiotics

Recommendation

30. For adults with an initial diagnosis of sepsis or septic shock and adequate source control, we **suggest** using <u>shorter</u> over longer duration of antimicrobial therapy

infection

Weak recommendation, very low quality of evidence

Biomarkers for discontinue antibiotics

Recommendation

31. For adults with an initial diagnosis of sepsis or septic shock and adequate source control where optimal duration of therapy is unclear, we **suggest** using procalcitonin AND clinical evaluation to decide when to discontinue antimicrobials over clinical evaluation alone

diagnosis

Weak recommendation, low quality of evidence

Thanks for attention